

Page 1

Text box – ERP- and require additional water supplies and may create land use impacts.

Adverse impacts also include reductions in water supplies and the reliability of those supplies associated with land use changes.

Page 2

ERP actions could adversely impact ag water supply reliability locally and in the export areas.

WQ – due to salinity reductions.

70,000 acres converted.

Levees – no Sac or SJV impacts?

Page 3

Problems with Table 8.1-1 of 3/16 draft. Need some discussion here.

Areas of Controversy – Yes there are. Review comments received. Level and sig. of impacts; ability to mitigate; types of impacts; program approach; etc.

Describe irrig ag acres for other areas?

Page 4

Last sentence is important – leads to need for discussion of water supply reliability

Page 5

Need additional section on water rights – types and relative supply reliability; not just where supplies come from

Page 6

Delta region water use – local sources of 85% needs to be linked to type of water rights – these are most secure; same discussion (short) for each region

Page 7

Sac River ag land – this is urban land use discussion not ag land.

Ag water – be clearer – 30% is CVP water, 30% is local groundwater

Page 8

SJR region – ag water – 10% from SWP; 15% from groundwater

Page 9

ERP water use - ...increase in water use and decrease in ag water supply reliability

ET requirements (not losses)

Page 11

Not only volume of water impacts, but also security of supply impacts needs discussion

Page 12

No action – potential impacts are greater – 26k acres NDWR; 15K acres East Grasslands WMA

Page 14

Water supply security (water rights) impacts from ERP actions

Page 15 – last full para – link to pg 17. *OK*

Page 17 – last paragraph *OK*

Page 20 – cumulative water supply impacts

Page 21 – mitigation – CALFED mitigation policy statement – id new supplies for ecosystem; bundle projects; create ag WSR account

Page 23 – monitoring program for impacts and mitigation